Colorectal Cancer Classification using PCA and Fisherface Feature Extraction Data from Pathology Microscopic Image
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ABSTRACT
Colorectal cancer is the one of variant cancer which can kill people on this earth. World Health Organization, from their website wrote about 608,000 people can get killed every year because of it. The variant of colorectal cancer such as lymphoma and carcinoma strikes colon from the inside and outside. Lymphoma can be found in white corpuscle and attack colon through lymphocytes, whereas carcinoma can attack the outer layer of colon. Early detection is needed to decrease the number of death because of this cancer.

The study about colorectal cancer is to classified lymphoma, carcinoma, and normal colon. It is doing by using 198 pathology microscopic images data from Hasan Sadikin Hospital in Bandung, Indonesia. Feature extraction using PCA and Fisherface and each generate 2, 5, 10, 50, 100 features. The study compared these two methods and using WEKA to testing the accuracy.

Using 10 folds cross-validation and 3 different classifier in WEKA such as Random Tree, Multi Layer Perceptron, and Naïve Bayes, Fisherface has capability for classified colorectal cancer around 84% - 100% for accuracy. It came from almost all features. Difference result is much visible in PCA. From this result, Fisherface is better than PCA for feature extraction.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Cancer is the uncontrolled growth and spread of cells. It can affect almost any part of the body. The growths often invade surrounding tissue and can metastasize to distant sites (WHO Cancer Fact Sheet). According to data from the Department of Health Republic of Indonesia in 2009, colon cancer is a type of cancer that was ranked the 3rd most suffered by both men and women, with an age range 40-59 years (Dharmais Hospital, National Cancer Center). Similarly, the World Health Organization said that colon cancer has donated 608,000 deaths in 2008 with an estimated it will increase in 2030. Unhealthy lifestyles such as frequent exposure to preservatives and dyes in foods, less exercise, obesity, and tobacco smoke polluted environment are major factor in colon cancer.

Colon cancer (colorectal cancer) is the growth of cancer cells that are in the large intestine (colon) or the area above the anus (rectum). In the medical world often come across several types of colon cancer such as sarcoma, carcinoma and lymphoma. The three types of cancer have different characteristics so it can be a sign that a person may be suffering colon cancer. However, in reality, the majority of patients with colon cancer check the condition when the cancer is already in an advanced stage.

Even though cancer is a deadly disease, it still curable by medical action, such as operation, radiotherapy, or chemotherapy which is suitable regarding the type of cancer owned by the patient while it has been detected earlier. There is a traditional way in detecting cancer tissue, called pathology collation. Pathology collection is processed by observing cell samples under the microscope to determine whether
cancer symptom is exist by looking at their abnormality (comparing the observed cell and the healthy one). Therefore, that kind of method has a close relationship to the observation quality conducted by doctor which is possible to produce failure that can influence the diagnostic result.

This research aims to find the best features extraction methods for classifying cancer which is useful for Pathology Division in any hospital to diagnose colorectal cancer in fast and accurate way. The data used in simulation are microscopic images of colorectal gland obtained from Hasan Sadikin Hospital Bandung.

Feature extraction of microscopic images has previously done before data are being classified. This feature extraction process intends to discover some prominent features from a set of data, so that the classification process will be held fast and precise. This research uses Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Fisherface as its extraction feature methods.

PCA is a statistical method that is usually used in order to execute the extraction feature process. The purpose of this method is to generate some principle components from a set of data by implementing some statistical formulas, such as covariance or singular value decomposition [1][2]. Meanwhile, Fisherface is a method that combines PCA and LDA which is first used in face recognition [3][4]. After the feature extraction process is done, classification process is taking place by using WEKA Classifier Tools that are Random Tree, Multi Layer Perceptron, and Naive Bayes. These methods used to testing both feature extraction and calculate the accuracy.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

PCA and Fisherface are the methods for finding a useful information from images [5]. Data from Hasan Sadikin Hospital are images from Pathology Microscopic which divided into 3 classes:

Every images has 66 data and balanced class. Tested using 10 folds cross-validation and 3 different WEKA classifier that are Random Tree, Multi Layer Perceptron, and Naive Bayes. The flow of research are briefly explained from the diagram below:

![Flow Diagram of Classification Images](image)

PCA and Fisherface can be calculated for grayscale images, so in this research, all of images transformed into a grayscale color. Furthermore, every image has a different size of pixels, so after grayscale, the images must be adjust at the center of observation and resized it into same resolution (255 x 255 pixels).
Using PCA and Fisherface, all images calculated into numerical value using MATLAB. It has 2, 5, 10, 50, and 100 features from all images. PCA [1][2] and Fisherface [3][4] calculated by the following algorithm:

Let an image X (x, y) is two-dimensional array M * N. N number of images in the database are represented as a matrix \( \Gamma = \{X_1, X_2, X_3, ..., X_N\} \), where each Xi is a vector sized M * N. Matrix \( \Gamma \) which is the input method of Fisherface and PCA also.

**PCA process:**
1. Calculated the average matrix using formula:
   \[
   \mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i
   \]
   \( N \) is the number of images and \( x \) is image vector.
2. Calculated covariant matrix using formula:
   \[
   C = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)(x_i - \mu)^T
   \]
3. Calculated Eigenvalue and Eigenvector from images using formula:
   \[
   UC_n = \lambda_n U_n
   \]
   \( U \) is Eigenvector and \( \lambda \) is Eigenvalue.
4. Sorting Eigenvector descending from the largest Eigenvalue.
5. Choose the Eigenvector, the chosen Eigenvector called the Eigenface.
6. Get transformation matrix by projection data into Eigenvector
   \[
   Y = U^T (X - \mu)
   \]

**Fisherface process:**
1. PCA Process
2. Calculate Within-Class Scatter Matrix (Sw)
   \[
   Sw = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (x_{ij} - \mu_i)(x_{ij} - \mu_i)^T
   \]
   Where \( L \) = number of class
3. Calculate Between-Class Scatter Matrix (Sb)
   \[
   Sb = \sum_{i=1}^{L} (\mu_i - \mu)(\mu_i - \mu)^T
   \]
4. Calculate Eigenvalue and Eigenvector of Sw and Sb
5. Sort Eigenvector based on its best Eigenvalue
6. Get some Eigenvector according to its Eigenvalue
7. Projection PCA transformation matrix into Fisherface Eigenvector (last Eigenvector)
   \[
   Y_{fisherface} = U_{fisherface}^T Y
   \]

From these features, the classification model build using WEKA such as Random Tree Algorithm, Multi Layer Perceptron, and Naïve Bayes. Each classifier using default parameter from WEKA itself.

3. **RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

PCA and Fisherface give the result with matrix of feature in 2, 5, 10, 50, and 100 features. For each features used to classify Lymphoma, Carcinoma, and Normal Colon.

Table 1. Illustration of Projection Matrix which is Result from PCA Process dan Fisherface Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Num of Data</th>
<th>Feature 1</th>
<th>Feature 2</th>
<th>Feature n</th>
<th>Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PCA/FIS_{1,1}</td>
<td>PCA/FIS_{1,2}</td>
<td>PCA/FIS_{1,n}</td>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PCA/FIS_{2,1}</td>
<td>PCA/FIS_{2,2}</td>
<td>PCA/FIS_{2,n}</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>PCA/FIS_{m,1}</td>
<td>PCA/FIS_{m,2}</td>
<td>PCA/FIS_{m,n}</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The result of implementation using different classifier in WEKA and tested using 10 folds of cross-validation. We choose Random Tree, Multi Layer Perceptron, dan Naïve Bayes to perform this. We set every classifier parameter by default value from WEKA classifier. Testing result are shown in tables below.

Table 2. Testing Result Using Random Tree in WEKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Extraction</th>
<th>Num of Feature</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-Measure</th>
<th>ROC Area</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>38.8889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.652</td>
<td>53.5354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>51.0101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>41.4141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>47.9798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisherface</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>85.3535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>84.3434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Testing Result Using Multi Layer Perceptron in WEKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Extraction</th>
<th>Num of Feature</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-Measure</th>
<th>ROC Area</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>47.4747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>53.5354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>52.0202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.576</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>57.5758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>43.9394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisherface</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Testing Result Using Naïve Bayes in WEKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Extraction</th>
<th>Num of Feature</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Recall</th>
<th>F-Measure</th>
<th>ROC Area</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.523</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>53.0303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>56.5657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>55.0505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.482</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>50.5051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td>58.5859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisherface</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result of this research means Fisherface as a methods for feature extraction can divide image from colorectal cancer data better than PCA. It can be seen from the value of precision, recall, and f-measure. The ROC area shows that the model which build from classifiers can be used to classify data more accurately.
Cross-validation used to test all of data so that the quality of model which build with classifiers can be tested. The model produced by Fisherface has a better quality than PCA, so it can cause the number of accuracy from the prediction is good too.

4. CONCLUSION

From the testing shown Fisherface outperform PCA both using 3 different classifier in WEKA for each features. Constant and higher number of precision, recall, and f-measure prove that data which produced with Fisherface is better than PCA. Its clear, because in Belhumeur et.al. [1] also shown Fisherface outperform PCA in case Face Recognition. Using 10 folds of cross-validation for each classifiers was produced a good result. The number of accuracy is around 84.3434 % - 100% for each classifier which using data from Fisherface. From the number of ROC area, the quality of model is also good, so that the model can be used for predicted unclassified data.

Many interesting issues in cancer field in the future especially in cancer classification using microscopic pathology image data. The immediate improvement of the presented method is to implement others feature extraction method that based on image processing such as Gabor Wavelet Transform and Edge Detection.
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